

Bose Institute Centenary Celebrations 10<sup>th</sup> Winter Workshop and School OB Istroparticle Physics (WAPP 2015) December 17 - 29, 2015

# Nuclear Track Detectors

# Search for Magnetic Monopoles

Laura Patrizii, INFN, Italy Laura.Patrizii@bo.infn.it

NFN Bologna

Laura Patrizii

# Nuclear Track Detectors (NTDs)

- Insulating solid materials, e.g. plastics (CR39, Makrofol, Lexan,..) glasses, minerals ...
- The passage of a charged particle cause the break of chemical bonds ("latent track")



The level of damage depends on the Restricted Energy Loss (*REL*) of the passing particle

$$\mathbf{REL} = \left(-\frac{\mathbf{dE}}{\mathbf{dx}}\right)_{\mathbf{E}<\mathbf{T}_{\text{max}}} = \mathbf{K} \left[\frac{\mathbf{z}^2}{\beta^2} \mathbf{Z} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{c}^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 \mathbf{T}_{\text{max}}}{\mathbf{I}^2} - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta}{2}\right]$$
  
$$T_{\text{max}}= 200 \text{ eV for CR39}, = 350 \text{ eV for Makrofol, Lexan}$$

The "latent" track can be made visible to an optical microscope through an appropriate chemical etching

Laura Patrizii, WAPP2015

# The chemical etching

a water solution of (f.e.) NaoH, or KOH or others, at high concentration ( 4-7 N), and temperature (40-80 °C)





$$v_{T} = v_{Track} (N, T, REL,...)$$
  
 $v_{B} = v_{Bulk} (N, T,...)$ 

N = solution concentration e.g. 6N NaOH, 7N KOH, etc.

T = solution temperature, e.g. 50°C, 70°C, etc.

 $p = v_T / v_R \approx f(REL,..)$  is usually used the detector response **Original surface** From the geometry of etch-pits  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger}\mathbf{t}$ For normal incidence: **Base cone diameter**  $D = 2v_{B}t\sqrt{[(p-1)/p+1)]}$ **Cone length:**  $L_{e} = (p-1) v_{R} t$ D

Similar relations hold at different angles of incidence

## **ETCHING PROCEDURE STEPS**

### **1- THICKNESS MEASUREMENT (1 μm accuracy)**



### **2- PREPARATION OF THE NaOH or KOH WATER SOLUTIONS**



7



Etching under controlled temperature ( $\pm 0.1$  °C)

Constant stirring of the solution

Very tight tank covers in to avoid evaporation

RINSING in water + CH3COOH in order to stop the etching process and clean the etch pits.

Drying in open air

Thickness Measurements

 $V_{B} = (S_{1} - S_{2})/2 \times t_{etch}$ 

# CALIBRATION OF (CR39) NUCLEAR TRACK DETECTOR with fast heavy ions

Accelerator	lon	Energy /nucleon
► SPS-CERN	Pb <sup>82+</sup>	158
	<b>In</b> <sup>49+</sup>	130
► AGS –BNL (USA)	<b>Fe</b> <sup>26+</sup>	1-10
	<b>Si</b> <sup>14+</sup>	1-5
► NSRL (BNL-USA)	<b>Fe</b> <sup>26+</sup>	1
► HIMAC (Japan)	<b>Fe</b> <sup>26+</sup>	0.1 - 0.4
	<b>C</b> <sup>6+</sup>	0.3

### Exposure to relativistic ion beams: the set up





### **Top detector foil**



Beam tracks

### detector foil after the target



Beam and fragment tracks



maica\A361.dat stage X = 55066, Y = 19054 focus Z = 499862 camera video x = 41, y = -101, g =	02
---	----

×



#### DISTRIBUTION OF ETCHED CONE BASE AREAS – AFTER TARGET 1 A GeV Fe<sup>26+</sup>



From the Surface Area (diameter..) &  $v_B \rightarrow v_T / v_B$ From Z, Energy of ions  $\rightarrow$  Restricted Energy Loss (Z/beta...)  $\rightarrow p = v_T / v_B vs$  REL



15

### Dependence of the response on the bulk etching rate



16

### **Bulk Etch Rate Measurements**



#### **By Thickness**



#### By $D-L_e$ measurements



REL (MeV/g/ cm<sup>2</sup>)

$$D = 2v_{B}t\sqrt{[(p-1)/p+1)]}$$



### Diameters and heights for "relativistic" cones of increasing charge





It depends on the etching conditions

Minimum for CR39 : REL<sub>thr</sub>~ 25 MeVcm<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>  $(Z/\beta)_{thr}$  <5



### Calibrations



### The Makrofol nuclear track detector



Nuclear track detectors : some applications

- Searches Magnetic monopoles searches at accelerators
- Searches for penetrating massive particles *MM*, *Nuclearites*, *Strangelets*, *Q-balls..* in the Cosmic Radiation
- Cosmic ray elemental composition
- Measurements of Charge Changing Cross sections (Relevant for cosmic ray propagation)
- Radon Concentration, Neutron dosimetry

# Comic ray elemental composition The CAKE experiment (INAF, ASI - Italy)













#### RADON MEASUREMENTS WITH THE CR39 NUCLEAR TRACK DETECTOR

The CR39 nuclear track detector can be used for measurements of radon concentrations via the detection of 5.5 MeV  $\alpha$  particles from the radon decay ( $^{222}$ Rn  $\rightarrow ~^{218}$ Po +  $\alpha$ ). The exposure time is of the order of some months. After chemical etching,  $\alpha$ -tracks are observed and counted with an optical microscope.



Microphotograph of  $\alpha$  particle tracks in CR39 from  $^{222}$ Rn decay. The CR39 sheet was etched for 8 hours in 6N NaOH at 70 °C.







# Magnetic Monopoles ?

# Magnets in Nature 1269 first scientific account

"... In this stone you should thoroughly comprehend there are two points of which one is called the North, the remaining one the South."

Petrus Peregrinus (De Magnete; Actum in castris in obsidione Luceriæ anno domini 1269) During the siege of the town of Lucera, South Italy by Charles of Anjou, king of France





S N

magnetic dipole



electric dipole



electric charges



**Ampère :** all magnetism is due to electric currents (and invents microscopic currents)





### **Maxwell Equations**

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi\rho_e$  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 4\pi\rho_b$  $-\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + 4\pi \mathbf{j}_b$  $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + 4\pi \mathbf{j}_e$ 



1894

Pierre Curie: Magnetic Monopoles could exist



### **1931 Paul Dirac: Quantization of electric charge** Proc. R. Soc. London 133 (1931) 60

Electric charge always comes in discrete values multiple of the charge of the electron

$$Q = n \times q_e = n \times 1.6 \cdot 10^{-19} coulombs$$

Dirac made the connection of isolated poles and quantization of electric charge

$$eg = n \frac{\hbar c}{2}$$
,  $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$  Dirac relation

$$g_{\rm D} = \frac{\hbar c}{2e} = \frac{137}{2}e \qquad \qquad g = n g_{\rm D}$$

The first strong **scientific** motivation for magnetic monopoles It inspired a large variety of possible experiments.

# **MM's Properties**

Magnetic Charge

If n = 1 and e = electron charge  $g_D = \hbar c / 2e = e/2\alpha = 68.5 e = 3.3x \ 10^{-8} esu$ 

- Electric charge = 0 MM,  $\neq 0 \text{ Dyon}$
- **Coupling constant**  $\alpha_{M} = g_{D}^{2}/\hbar c = 34.25$
- Energy gain in a magnetic field  $W = n g_D B L = n 2.06 MeV/G m$

• Mass : Not predicted. Estimate:  
if 
$$R_M = R_e \quad m_M \sim g_D^2 m_e/e^2 \sim 4700 m_e \sim 2.4 \text{ GeV}$$
  
• Large Energy Loss :  $\beta \sim 1$  MMs ionize 4700 x m.i.p.  
 $\beta \sim 10^{-3} \quad 10 \div 100 \text{ x m.i.p.}$ 

Since 1950s searches for Dirac magnetic monopoles at every new accelerator ...

# **Searches for classical MMs**

 $e^+e^- \to M\overline{M}, \ \overline{p}p \to M\overline{M}, \ pp \to ppM\overline{M}$ 



- direct detection (immediately after production in high-energy collisions) ק
  - (ex.) thin plastic sheets surround interaction regions.



**indirect searches**: (where monopoles are searched for a long time after their production)





- Searches in bulk matter
- Terrestrial magnetic materials
- Meteorites
- Moon rocks: One of the first scientific experiments with moon rocks was to search for a concentration of magnetic monopoles

### Searches in Cosmic Rays

- with counters
- passive detectors



### The " Price Event"

balloon flight 10 m² plastic detectors + emulsions + Č films.

PRL 35 (1975) 0486











Magnetic Monopoles? Grand Unification Epoch

## **GUT MMs**

May be present today in the Cosmic Radiation as "relic" particles



Cosmological limits on MM flux : ~5  $10^{-12} \beta$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>sr<sup>-1</sup> Astrophysical limits on MM flux : ~  $10^{-15}$  "

### Monopole Astrophysics and Cosmic Ray Observatory The MACRO experiment @ Gran Sasso



#### From 1989 to 2000

3 Subdetectors: •Scintillators

•Limited Streamer tubes •Nuclear track detectors

SΩ ~10,000 m<sup>2</sup>sr

### **Redundany & Complementarity**

### The MACRO track-etch subdetector



# *li* **Search for Light Monopoles @ Chacaltaya, Bolivia, 5230 m asl**

Bolivia: Lab. Fisica Cosmica , UMSA, LaPaz Canada: CSR, Univ. Alberta, Edmonton Italy: INFN and Phys Depts, Bologna, Torino Pakistan: PINSTECH, Islamabad









# **GUT Cosmic Monopoles**



R Patrizii L, Spurio M. 2015. Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 65:279–302

# Pursuing the Quest for Monopoles at the LHC with the MoEDAL Experiment



Housed in the LHCb VELO cavern

Anti-monopole Monopole

International collaboration 65 physicists from 21 participating institutions



### **DETECTOR SYSTEMS**

- Low threshold Nuclear
   Track Detectors array : Z/
   β > 5
- High threshold NTD array  $Z/\beta$  > ~50
- Monopole Trapping detector (1 ton)



# The MoEDAL Nuclear Track Detector





#### **BASIC UNIT**

- 3 layers of Makrofol (each 500 mm thick)
- 3 layers CR39 (each 500 mm thick)
- 3 layers of Lexan (each 200 mm thick)
- Sheet size 25 x 25 cm



# NTDs deployment

#### 2015: LT-NTD Top of VELO cover – closest possible location to IP

#### 2012: LT-NTD NTDs sheets kept in boxes mounted onto LHCb VELO cavern walls



#### 2015: HCC-NTD Installed in LHCb acceptance between RICH1 and TT



# The search technique

INFN

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare





51





# Conclusions

If MM discovered  $\rightarrow$  breakthrough in the understanding of electromagnetism, in Astrophysics and in Cosmology

Joseph Polchinski, theoretical physicist at the 2002 Dirac Centennial Symposium

"the existence of magnetic monopoles is one of the safest bets that one can make about physics not yet seen"



## Bibliography



S. Cecchini et al. Calibration with relativistic and low velocity ions of a CR39 nuclear track detector Nuovo Cim. A109 (1996) 1119.

G. Giacomelli et al. Extended calibration of a CR39 nuclear track detector with 158-A-GeV Pb-207 ions Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A411 (1998) 41

S. Balestra et al.

Bulk etch rate measurements and calibrations of plastic nuclear track detectors Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B 254 (2007) 254.

Annual Review on Magnetic Monopoles

http://arjournals.annualreviews.org/eprint/fS7npepcwV54KYGS4MPF/full/ 10.1146/annurev-nucl-102014-022137